01		
02		
03		
04		
05		
06		
07	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
08	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) CASE NO. CR09-219-RAJ
09	Plaintiff,	) CASE NO. CR09-219-KAJ
10	v.	) ) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.
11	NIKOS DELANO DORSEY,	) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ) ALLEGED VIOLATIONS
12	Defendant.	OF SUPERVISED RELEASE
13		,
14	An initial hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before me	
15	on October 4, 2012. The United States was represented by AUSA Matt Pittman for Kate	
16	Vaughan and the defendant by Chris Kerkering for Jay Stansell. The proceedings were	
17	digitally recorded.	
18	Defendant had been sentenced on or about March 24, 2004 by the Honorable James K.	
19	Singleton on a charge of Possession of Cocaine Base with Intent to Distribute, Possession of a	
20	Firearm, and Possession of a Firearm in a School Zone, and sentenced to 98 months custody,	
21	years supervised release.	
22	The conditions of supervised releas	e included the standard conditions plus the
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRAT TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVIS PAGE -1	

requirements that defendant participate in substance abuse and testing, participate in a mental 02 health program, submit to search, and not possess a dangerous weapon or firearm. (Dkt. 3 at 24-27.) The judgment was amended on November 29, 2005 to impose a sentence of 79 03 04months in custody, 6 years supervised release, on the same conditions. (Dkt. 3 at 33-37.) 05 The case was transferred to this Court for supervision on July 2, 2009. (Dkt. 3 at 2.) 06 Defendant's probation officer reported on October 21, 2009 that defendant had left the district without permission on or about October 3, 2009. It being defendant's first violation, he was given a verbal reprimand and no further action was taken at the time. (Dkt. 4.) On 08 09 November 2, 2010, defendant's probation officer reported that defendant had tested positive for cocaine. Defendant was reprimanded and placed in a structured testing program, and referred 10 for professional assessment. No further action was taken at the time. (Dkt. 5.) 11 12 On January 6, 2011, defendant admitted violating the conditions of supervised release 13 by using cocaine, failing to notify his probation officer within 72 hours of contact with law enforcement, failing to participate in substance abuse evaluation, and failing to participate in 14 15 substance abuse testing. He was found to have committed an additional violation of committing the crime of Driving Under the Influence on or about October 31, 2010. (Dkt. 11.) 16 17 Defendant was sentenced to time served, 71 months supervised release, with the previous 18 conditions of supervision plus the additional requirement of submitting financial information as 19 requested to his probation officer. (Dkt. 16.) 20 On August 4, 2011, defendant admitted violating the conditions of supervision by using cocaine, failing to report for urinalysis testing, and consuming alcohol. (Dkt. 24.) Prior to the 21 22 disposition hearing on these violations, defendant's probation officer reported that defendant

SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -2

01	violated an additional condition of supervision by using cocaine on or before September 9		
02	2011. (Dkt. 26, 27.) A bench warrant was issued. Defendant was arrested and found to have		
03	committed the alleged violation on September 30, 2011. (Dkt. 35.) Defendant was sentenced		
04	to 60 days, 3 years supervised release. (Dkt. 40.) He was also ordered to successfully complete		
05	a residential reentry center placement for up to 60 days.		
06	On April 10, 2012, defendant was found to have violated the conditions of supervision		
07	by failing to successfully complete the residential reentry center placement, failing to report fo		
80	urinalysis testing, and using cocaine. (Dkt. 50.) Defendant was sentenced to 90 days in custody		
09	one year supervised release. (Dkt. 55.)		
10	In an application dated September 24, 2012 (Dkt. 56, 57), U.S. Probation Office		
11	Jennifer Van Flandern alleged the following violations of the conditions of supervised release		
12	1. Failing to notify his probation officer within ten days of a change of residence		
13	on or about September 1, 2012, in violation of standard condition number 6.		
14	2. Failing to participate in chemical dependency treatment as directed by probation		
15	since September 12, 2012, in violation of his special condition of supervised release.		
16	3. Failing to report to the probation office on September 19, 2012, in violation o		
17	standard condition number 2.		
18	Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.		
19	Defendant admitted violation 1 and waived any evidentiary hearing as to whether		
20	occurred. Defendant denied violations 2 and 3 and requested an evidentiary hearing befo		
21	Judge Jones.		
22	I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release a		
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS		

TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

PAGE -3

01	alleged in violation 1, and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition on	
02	that violation and an evidentiary hearing on alleged violations 2 and 3. The next hearing will	
03	be set before Judge Jones.	
04	Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.	
05	DATED this 4th day of October, 2012.	
06		
07	Mary Alice Theiler	
08	United States Magistrate Judge	
09		
10		
11	cc: District Judge: Honorable Richard A. Jones AUSA: Matt Pittman, Kate Vaughan	
12	Defendant's attorney: Chris Kerkering, Jay Stansell Probation officer: Jennifer Van Flandern	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
	SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO ALLEGED VIOLATIONS OF SUPERVISED RELEASE PAGE -4	